

Lauren M. Rule (ISB # 6863), *pro hac vice*
ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST
P.O. Box 1612
Boise, ID 83701
(208) 342-7024
(208) 342-8286 (fax)
lrule@advocateswest.org

Erik B. Ryberg (AZB # 023809)
312 South Convent Ave.
Tucson, AZ 85701
(520) 622-3333
ryberg@seanet.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dennis K. Burke
United States Attorney
District of Arizona

Ignacia S. Moreno
Assistant Attorney General
Environment and Natural Resources Division

Tyler Welti
Trial Attorney, CA Bar #257993
Environment and Natural Resources Division
Natural Resources Section
P.O. Box 663
Washington D.C. 20044-0663
202-305-0481 (tel) 202-305-0506 (fax)
Tyler.Welti@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT,
Plaintiff,
vs.
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT,
Defendant.

Case No.: 08-cv-1472-MHM

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT**

1 The Parties to this action, by and through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby
2 agree to the following Stipulated Settlement Agreement (“Settlement Agreement”) in order to
3 resolve this action informally and avoid the need for further litigation before the Court.

4 1. Plaintiff’s Second Claim for Relief and the portion of their First Claim for Relief
5 related to the compatibility determination have already been dismissed pursuant to the Court’s
6 Order of June 12, 2009 (Dckt. No. 65). *See* Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint ¶¶ 72 & 82
7 (First and Second Claims for Relief) (Dckt. No. 70); Stipulation on Second Amended Complaint
8 (Dckt. No. 77) (recognizing that Plaintiff’s Second Claim for Relief and the portion of the First
9 Claim for Relief seeking to compel completion of a grazing compatibility determination were
10 dismissed by the Court).

11 2. In order to resolve and settle the portion of Plaintiff’s First Claim For Relief
12 related to the Sonoran Desert National Monument Resource Management Plan (“SDNM RMP”),
13 the parties agree that:

14 A. The Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) will complete the SDNM
15 RMP and issue a Record of Decision by December 15, 2011.

16 B. BLM will include within the SDNM RMP a determination of whether
17 livestock grazing on the five allotments north of Highway 8 is compatible with protecting the
18 objects identified in Presidential Proclamation 7397, 66 Fed. Reg. at 7354 (Jan. 22, 2001).

19 C. Until the SDNM management plan is completed and a Record of Decision
20 issued, the parties agree to the following:

21 i. BLM will continue to implement the Off-Road Vehicle use
22 restrictions that are currently in place on the SDNM, as described in 73 Fed. Reg.
23 27844-45 (May 14, 2008).

24 ii. BLM will subject any decision to construct or authorize any new,
25 permanent range improvements for cattle grazing on the SDNM to National
26 Environmental Policy Act review, and BLM will provide Plaintiff with all
27 required public notices.

28 iii. BLM will continue to consider applications for ephemeral grazing

1 on the SDNM in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and other
2 guidance, including but not limited to the Lower Gila South RMP/EIS (1988) and
3 2005 amendment, the Maricopa Wilderness Management Plan (1995), the 1988
4 Desert Tortoise Range Wide Plan, Instruction Memorandum AZ-94-018, and the
5 Arizona Standards for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Grazing
6 Administration.

7 iv. In accordance with these authorities, should BLM authorize
8 ephemeral grazing, BLM will conduct ephemeral grazing monitoring trips prior to
9 the initial authorization and on 30-day intervals starting 60 days after the initial
10 authorization, for as long as ephemeral grazing is authorized. In accordance with
11 these authorities, in Categories I and II desert tortoise habitat, BLM will conduct
12 monitoring trips at 30-day intervals beginning 60 days after the initial
13 authorization or March 31, whichever is sooner, for as long as ephemeral grazing
14 is authorized. BLM will provide Plaintiff with a monitoring report and data
15 within two weeks of the date of each ephemeral grazing monitoring trip. If BLM
16 determines upon such monitoring that it will not authorize further ephemeral
17 grazing that season, BLM will notify the permittee to promptly remove livestock
18 that were grazing under the prior ephemeral authorization within 15 days. Any
19 member of the public, including Plaintiff, may request that BLM, at BLM's
20 discretion, allow that member of the public to accompany BLM on ephemeral
21 grazing monitoring trips on the SDNM. BLM will provide Plaintiff 72 hours
22 notice, by email at the email address greta@westernwatersheds.org, of monitoring
23 trips on the SDNM to allow Plaintiff to make such requests. If BLM should allow
24 Plaintiff to accompany BLM on ephemeral grazing monitoring trips, Plaintiff will
25 observe the monitoring and will not in any way interfere with or attempt to
26 influence the monitoring.

27 v. Should BLM receive an application for ephemeral grazing, before
28 processing the first such application received during each calendar year, BLM

1 will provide Plaintiff with an opportunity to accompany BLM on a field trip, on
2 which BLM will demonstrate ephemeral grazing application processing and
3 monitoring methods. To this end, BLM will provide Plaintiff with 72 hours
4 notice, by email at the email address listed above, of the field trip opportunity,
5 including the field trip's location and time of departure.

6 vi. Plaintiff agrees not to initiate any further litigation specifically
7 challenging BLM's management of the SDNM, so as to facilitate BLM's
8 completion of the SDNM RMP. This provision does not preclude Plaintiff from
9 initiating litigation challenging BLM actions related to land outside of the
10 Monument, even if such litigation indirectly impacts land within the monument.
11 Notwithstanding this provision, should BLM hereafter renew any grazing permits
12 for allotments within the SDNM prior to completion of the SDNM RMP or
13 authorize any new, permanent range improvements, Plaintiff may challenge such
14 permit renewals or range improvement authorizations in a new lawsuit.

15 4. BLM agrees to pay Plaintiff \$28,284.00 in full and complete satisfaction of any
16 and all claims, demands, rights, and causes of action pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act,
17 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), and/or any other statute and/or common law theory, for all attorneys' fees
18 and costs incurred by Plaintiff, individually and/or severally, in this litigation. Plaintiff agrees
19 that receipt of such payment shall constitute a release of any and all claims Plaintiff may have to
20 attorney fees and costs under any and all laws, regulations, and other authorities.

21 5. BLM's payment, as identified in Paragraph 4 above, shall be accomplished by
22 electronic fund transfer into Plaintiff's attorney's trust account within 60 days after the Court's
23 dismissal of this Action as provided in paragraph 6 and receipt of all information necessary to
24 process the payment, whichever is sooner.

25 6. Plaintiff hereby relinquishes its claim against BLM regarding the portion of the
26 First Claim for Relief related to the SDNM RMP, and agrees that the Court may enter the
27 accompanying Proposed Order of Dismissal dismissing this case in its entirety, with prejudice.

28 7. The Parties request, and will specify in the Proposed Order of Dismissal to be

1 submitted to the Court, that the Court retain jurisdiction over this matter until BLM has issued a
2 Record of Decision for the SDNM Management Plan, for the limited purpose of enforcing the
3 terms of the Settlement Agreement. At least 30 days prior to bringing any action to enforce this
4 Settlement Agreement and Order of Dismissal, the party contemplating the action must bring its
5 dispute to the attention of the other party, in writing, and both parties must make a good faith
6 effort to resolve the dispute informally within 30 days thereafter. The parties understand that the
7 District Court's review of any action related to this Settlement Agreement would be governed by
8 the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 *et seq.*. The parties agree not to seek to invoke
9 the contempt powers of the Court in aid of enforcement of this Settlement Agreement.

10 8. No provision of this Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted as a commitment
11 or requirement that the Federal Defendants take actions in contravention of the Federal Land
12 Policy and Management Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, the Administrative
13 Procedure Act, or any other law or regulation, substantive or procedural. This Settlement
14 Agreement shall be subject to any statutory changes, and nothing in this Settlement Agreement
15 shall be interpreted as, or shall constitute, a commitment or requirement that Defendant obligate
16 or pay funds, or take any other action in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C.
17 §1341, or any other applicable law regarding the expenditure of public funds.

18 9. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement, and no actions taken by any Party hereto
19 with regard to this Settlement Agreement, shall be construed as an admission by any Party of
20 liability as to any of the matters settled. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be utilized
21 for the purpose of precedent or argument in any other case. No action taken by any Party in
22 effectuating this Settlement Agreement may be used as an admission of liability in any respect in
23 any future or pending demand, administrative proceeding, litigation, or similar action involving
24 any of the Parties. This Settlement Agreement shall not bind any Party as to any claim or issue
25 except those specifically addressed herein.

26 10. The undersigned representatives of the Parties certify that they are fully-
27 authorized by the respective Parties whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions
28 of this stipulation and to legally bind such Parties to it.

1 11. No supplement, modification, or amendment of this Settlement Agreement shall
2 be binding unless executed in writing by all of the Parties.

3 12. This Settlement Agreement represents the entirety of the Parties' commitments
4 with regard to settlement. The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall become effective upon
5 approval by the Court of this stipulation.

6
7 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

8
9 Dated this 10th day of February, 2010

10
11 /s/Lauren M. Rule
12 _____
13 Lauren M. Rule (ISB # 6863)
14 ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST
15 P.O. Box 1612
16 Boise, ID 83701
17 (208) 342-7024
18 (208) 342-8286 (fax)
19 lrule@advocateswest.org

20 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

21 DENNIS K. BURKE
22 United States Attorney
23 District of Arizona

24 IGNACIA S. MORENO
25 Assistant Attorney General
26 Environment and Natural Resources Division

27 /s/ Tyler Welti
28 by Lauren M. Rule (with permission)
 Tyler Welti (CA Bar No. 257993)
 Trial Attorney
 United States Department of Justice
 Environment and Natural Resources Division
 Natural Resources Section
 P.O. Box 663
 Washington D.C. 20044-0663
 202-305-0481 (tel) 202-305-0506 (fax)
 Tyler.Welti@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 10th day of February 2010, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which sent notification of such filing to the following counsel of record in this matter:

Tyler G. Welti
Tyler.Welti@usdoj.gov

Erik B. Ryberg
ryberg@seanet.com

James S. Angell
jangell@earthjustice.org

Alexander Hays
alexander_hays@nthp.org

s/Lauren M. Rule
Lauren M. Rule (ISB # 6863)